BIOLOGY LETTERS

rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org

CrossMark

Invited reply

Cite this article: Rutledge LY, Devillard S, Hohenlohe PA, White BN. 2016 Considering all the evidence: a reply to Sefc and Koblmüller (2016). *Biol. Lett.* **12**: 20151009. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.1009

Received: 22 December 2015 Accepted: 12 January 2016

Author for correspondence:

L. Y. Rutledge e-mail: Ir9@princeton.edu

The accompanying comment can be viewed at http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0834.

THE ROYAL SOCIETY

Evolutionary biology

Considering all the evidence: a reply to Sefc and Koblmüller (2016)

L. Y. Rutledge¹, S. Devillard², P. A. Hohenlohe³ and B. N. White⁴

 1 Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, 106A Guyot Hall, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

²Université de Lyon, Lyon 69000, France; CNRS, UMR5558, Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, Université Lyon 1, Villeurbanne 69622, France

³Department of Biological Sciences and Institute of Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Studies, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID, USA

⁴Department of Biology, Trent University, 2140 East Bank Drive, Peterborough, Ontario, Canada K9 J 7B9

(ID) LYR, 0000-0002-6008-2322

In their comment on our recent article [1], Sefc & Koblmüller [2] acknowledge the need for eastern wolf (Canis lycaon) conservation, regardless of evolutionary origin. This is an important consolidating statement for endangered species policy that demonstrates agreement among scientists of what should be conserved. They also suggest, however, that eastern wolves from Algonquin Park may have originated from an ancient grey wolf (C. lupus) × western coyote (C. latrans) hybridization event followed by drift over many generations. Their evidence includes: (i) skull morphology suggesting plausible wolfcoyote hybridization [3], (ii) results of our own f3 tests [1] and (iii) a successful experimental cross between western grey wolves and western coyotes [4]. We acknowledge that alternative scenario in the original article and agree that our data reject a recent hybrid origin for eastern wolves. Their comment speaks more to academic disagreement on species definitions [5] than to the applied nature of wolf conservation. Here, we point out that the overall evidence remains weak for an ancient hybrid origin for eastern wolves, and we caution against biological interpretation of a single statistical test to the exclusion of all other information.

Our objective was to test the eastern wolf species hypothesis based on the genetic clusters species concept [6], to which we show 'support for the eastern wolf centralized in Algonquin Provincial Park as a distinct genomic cluster' [1, p. 3]. We resolve hybrid origins within North American *Canis* by demonstrating that Great Lakes-boreal wolves and eastern coyotes arose from recent hybridization that includes eastern wolves as a key genomic component of that admixture. To further clarify our position, the unified species concept [7] used to identify a new species of African golden wolf (*C. anthus*) [8], is also applicable to the eastern wolf [9,10]. Although eastern wolf ancestry is confounded by contemporary gene flow [11] and introgressed mtDNA and Y-chromosomes [12,13], they remain morphologically distinct from neighbouring *Canis* types [14].

The craniological analysis of Nowak [3] supports the emergence of a small wolf during Late Rancholabrean. However, the targeted eradication of wolves within Algonquin Park through the 1950s likely resulted in interbreeding with surrounding grey wolves and invading coyotes. Nowak's analysis, while thorough, is insufficient to assess ancestry in a system complicated by ancient hybridization followed by widespread extirpation and habitat alteration leading to contemporary admixture.

Both f3 and LD statistics assess historical admixture in a population. The f3 statistic uses allele frequencies and the LD-statistic is inferred from linkage disequilibrium [15]. Our f3 results suggest grey wolf \times western coyote admixture in eastern wolves. Conversely, the LD-statistic found no evidence of this admixture. Also, an f3 test failed to identify admixture in eastern coyotes, which clearly has

wolf (grey or eastern wolf) and western coyote ancestry [12,13]. These conflicting results warrant cautious interpretation. A de novo assembly of a western coyote genome may resolve these discrepancies because we excluded 14.1% of potentially informative loci that could not be mapped to the dog genome.

The experimental cross of western grey wolves and western coyotes [4] provides little support for ancient hybridization. Natural breeding did not occur, and multiple attempts of artificial insemination resulted in few pregnancies and even fewer surviving litters. Furthermore, there is no evidence for grey wolf × western coyote hybridization in wild populations [16], and there is a behavioural barrier to reproduction [17]. What remains to be explained by the two-species model is why we see extensive hybridization, ongoing admixture, and persistent introgression in eastern North America and not in western North America. If the eastern evolutionary patterns arose from simply grey wolf × western coyote hybridization, one would expect similar events to also occur in the west, especially where dwindling grey wolf populations live sympatrically with thriving coyotes.

Conservation policy has long overlooked the role that hybridization plays in speciation of mammal populations. We suggest that endangered species legislation be updated to reflect the potential conservation value of hybrids, despite their origins [18]. The rapid rate at which humans are altering the evolutionary trajectory of animal populations [19] brings a sense of urgency to these changes. In short, regulation of endangered species needs to reflect the realization that hybridization, in its many forms, is an important part of adaptation, evolution and speciation.

Competing interests. We have no competing interests.

References

- 1. Rutledge LY, Devillard S, Boone JQ, Hohenlohe PA, White BN 2015 RAD sequencing and genomic simulations resolve hybrid origins within North American Canis. Biol. Lett. 11, 20150303. (doi:10. 1098/rsbl.2015.0303)
- 2. Sefc KM, Koblmüller S. 2016 Ancient hybrid origin of the eastern wolf not yet off the table: a comment on Rutledge et al. (2015). Biol. Lett. 12, 20150834. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2015.0834)
- Nowak RM. 2002 The original status of wolves in eastern North America. Southeast. Nat. 1, 95-130. (doi:10. 1656/1528-7092(2002)001[0095:T0S0WI]2.0.C0;2)
- Mech LD et al. 2014 Production of hybrids between western gray wolves and western coyotes. PLoS ONE 9, e88861. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0088861)
- Coyne JA, Orr HA. 2004 Speciation. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates Inc.
- Mallet J. 1995 A species definition for the modern synthesis. Trends. Ecol. Evol. 10, 294-299. (doi:10. 1016/0169-5347(95)90031-4)
- de Queiroz K. 2007 Species concepts and species delimitation. Syst. Biol. 56, 879-886. (doi:10.1080/ 10635150701701083)

- Koepfi K-P et al. 2015 Genome-wide evidence reveals that African and Eurasian golden jackals are distinct Species. Curr. Biol. 25, 2158-2165. (doi:10. 1016/j.cub.2015.06.060)
- Rutledge LY et al. 2010 Analysis of Canis mitchondrial DNA demonstrates high concordance between the control region and ATPase genes. BMC. Evol. Biol. 10, 215. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-10-215)
- 10. Wilson PJ et al. 2012 Y-chromosome evidence supports widespread signatures of three-species Canis hybridization in eastern North America. Ecol. Evol. **2**, 2325 – 2332. (doi:10.1002/ece3.301)
- 11. Rutledge LY et al. 2010 Genetic differentiation of eastern wolves in Algonquin Park despite bridging gene flow between coyotes and grey wolves. Heredity 105, 520-531. (doi:10.1038/
- 12. Wilson PJ et al. 2009 Genetic characterization of hybrid wolves across Ontario. J. Hered. 100(Suppl. 1), S80 – S89. (doi:10.1093/jhered/esp034)
- Kays R et al. 2010 Rapid adaptive evolution of northeastern coyotes via hybridization with wolves. Biol. Lett. 6, 89-93. (doi:10.1098/rsbl. 2009.0575)

- 14. Benson JF et al. 2012 Spatial genetic and morphologic structure of wolves and coyotes in relation to environmental heterogeneity in a Canis hybrid zone. Mol. Ecol. 21, 5934-5954. (doi:10. 1111/mec.12045)
- 15. Loh P-R et al. 2013 Inferring admixture histories of human population using linkage disequilibrium. Genetics 193, 1233-1254. (doi:10.1534/genetics. 112.147330)
- 16. Mech LD. 2011 Non-genetic data supporting genetic evidence for the eastern wolf. Northeast. Nat. 18, 521-526. (doi:10.1656/045.018.0409)
- 17. Merkle JA et al. 2009 Interference competition between gray wolves and coyotes in Yellowstone National Park. Can. J. Zool. 87, 56-63. (doi:10. 1139/Z08-136)
- 18. Jackiw RN et al. 2015 A framework to guide the conservation of species hybrids based on ethical and ecological considerations. Conserv. Biol. 29, 1040 – 1051. (doi:10.1111/cobi.12526)
- 19. Darimont CT et al. 2009 Human predators outpace other agents of trait change in the wild. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106, 952-954. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 0809235106)